UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,764	05/16/2007	Joseph M. DeSimone	035052/338792	3338
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 08/17/201 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AM	ERICA PLAZA	FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P		
	YON STREET, SUITE 4000 NC 28280-4000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant/s)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
000 4 11 0	10/572,764	DESIMONE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	WILLIAM PHILLIP FLETCHER III	1717			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	IVIS SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH/	(S) OD THIDTY (20) DAYS			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	<u>May 2011</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-171</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) <u>1-35 and 37-171</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 March 2006 is/are		o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the \mathbb{R}	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	griphority and of 03 0.0.0. § 110(a)	, (d) or (i).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume		ion No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not receive	∌d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/6/06, 2/26/07, 8/24/07, 11/18/08, 10</u>		dia face a conserva			

Application/Control Number: 10/572,764 Page 2

Art Unit: 1717

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. The election of species requirement is hereby withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Primary Examiner reviewed and considered the IDSs filed 6 OCT 2006, 26 FEB 2007, 24 AUG 2007, 18 NOV 2008, 11 OCT 2010.

Drawings

3. The drawings filed 21 MAR 2006 are acceptable for examination purposes.

Specification

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it fails to recite process steps. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b). WO 2005/030822 A3 recites a suitable abstract.
- 5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,764 Page 3

Art Unit: 1717

7. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US

6,335,224 B1.

A. This is a product-by-process claim. Product-by-process claims are not

limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the

steps. MPEP 2113. In the instant case, US '224 teaches a microfluidic device

that may have a perfluoropolyether coating thereon [abstract; Fig. 2B; 4:55-57;

5:14; and 8:10]. The microfluidic device is not produced according to the same

process as recited in claim 1 (most notably, the perfluoropolyether is not

photocured), but the result is the same or similar: a microfluidic device having a

pattern of perfluoropolyether thereon.

B. One a product appearing to be substantially identical is found, and a

rejection made, the burden shifts to Applicant to show an unobvious difference

[ibid.].

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-35 and 37-171 are allowed.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: The prior art neither teaches nor suggests the claimed inventions including a

microfluidic device comprising a patterned layer of photocured perfluoropolyether.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,764

Art Unit: 1717

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 4

examiner should be directed to WILLIAM PHILLIP FLETCHER III whose telephone

number is (571)272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through

Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on (571) 272-1295. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/WILLIAM PHILLIP FLETCHER III/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1717

14 AUG 2011